Case 2:02-cv-04730-RBS Document 1-2 Filed 07/17/2002 Page 1 of 10 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff:			319 New Broo	Donald W. Nees, Sr. and Evelyn R. Nees, his wife 319 New Brooklyn Road Berlin, NJ 08009								
Addro	ess of Defe	ndant:	See attached L	ist			·					
Place	of Accider	nt, incident or Transaction:	Various									
			(Use Reverse S	Side for Add	litionai	l Space)					
Does th	is case inv	olve multidistrict litigation p	ossibilities?				Yes		No			
RELAT	ED CASE	IF ANY										
Case Number: 01-CV-5981 Ju			Judge	ıdye					N/A			
Civil ca	ises are de	emed related when yes is ans	wered to any of the fo	ollowing and	estions		_					
		·	-									
1.		ease related to property includes sly terminated action in this control in the co		ocrea suit pe	nding	or with	in one year	Yes		No		
2.		is case involve the same issu				ction as	a prior					
	suit per	nding or within one year prev	iously terminated acti	on in this c	ourt?			Yes		No		
3.		is case involve the validity of ed case pending or within on						Yes		No		
CIVII	(Dlaga an	in ONE CATEGORY ON										
Οι ν 11, Α .	•	Question Cases:	j	В.	Diver:	sitv Ju	risdiction Ca	ises:				
			Controct and All			_ •	Insurance		مادی اد مادی اد	a= Cu= t =	•	
1.		Indemnity Contract, Marine Other Contracts	Contract, and An	1. 2.			Airplane F			er Contra	icts	
2.		FELA		3.			Assault, D					
3.		Jones Act — Personal Injur	у	4.	(Marine Pe					
4.		Antitrust		5.	į		Motor Vel	ncle Pers	onal Inj	игу		
5.		Patent		6.	(Other Pers	onal Inju	ry (Plea	se specif	y)	
6.		Labor-Management		7.	(Products I	iability				
7.		Civil Rights		8.	(Products I	iability –	– Asbes	tos		
8.		Habeas Corpus		9.	(All other I	Diversity	Cases			
9.		Securities Act(s) Cases					(Please spe	ecify)				
10.		Social Security Review Cas										
11.		All other Federal Question	Cases									
		(please specify)-Related to	Chapter 11 Filing									
			ARBITRATIO! (Check appr			ON						
ī								councel	of recor	l do hora	by certify:	
<u>., </u>	Pursuant	to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Sec	ction 3(c)(2), that to the	he best of n	ıy knov	wledge					•	
	action ca	se exceed the sum of \$150,00	00 exclusive of interes	st and costs	;	_		·				
	Relief of	her than monetary damages is	sought.									
DATE	ತೆ:											
	NOTE:	A trial de novo will be a tria		ney-at-Law has been co	nmnlia	nce wit	HERCP 3		Attorn	ey I.D.#		
		knowledge, the within case is							rminated	action in	i this court	
DATE	E:											
CIV. 60	9 (9/99)		Attori	ney-at-Law					Attorn	iey I D.#		

Defendants (Names and Addresses):

DaimlerChrysler Corporation 1000 Chrysler Driver Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2766

Ford Motor Company Parklane Towers West Suite 1500 Three Parklane Boulevard Dearborn, MI 48126-2568

General Motors Corporation 400 Renaissance Center P.O. Box 400 Detroit, MI 48265-4000

Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., individually and/or as parent company, successor in interest, or indemnitor to or of:

Fel-Pro, Inc.,

Ferodo America, Inc.,

Gasket Holdings, Inc., formerly known as Flexitallic Gasket Company,

Moog Automotive Inc., formerly known as Wagner Electric Corporation,

Pneumo Abex Corp., or

T&N plc.

2655 Northwestern Highway Southfield, MI 48034

Document 1-2 Filed 07/17/2002 Page 3 of 10 CIVIL COVER SHEET

__MAG.JUDGE___

JS44

(Rev. 12/96)

RECEIPT#_____

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose if initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docker sheet. (SEE 1	HOLKOC HORO ON THE KEVERSE OF THE	1000.								
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS SEE ATTACHED						
				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED						
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER James T. Fitzgerald, Esquire The Wannamaker Building 100 Penn Square East - Suite 1050 Tenth Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 (215) 963-9333				ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Lavin, Coleman, O'Neil, Ricci, Finarelli & Gray Penn Mutual Tower 510 Walnut Street - Suite 1000 Philadelphia, PA 19106 (215) 627-0303						
II. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)		TIZENS Diversity Cas		F PRIN	CIPAL PARTIES FOR PLAINTIFF AND		(IN ONE BOX DEFENDANT)		
☐ 1 U.S. Governmen: Plaintiff	 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 	Citizen of Th	is State	PTF C 1	DEF	Incorporated or Procupal Place	PTF C 4	DEF		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of	Citizen of An	other State	□ 2	□ 2	of Business in This State Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State	€ 5	D 5		
	Parties in Item III)		bject of a untry	C 3	C 3	Foreign Nation	€ 6	2.6		

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

AMOUNT _____ APPLYINGIFP ____ .. JUDGE __

Action for personal injury for asbestos exposure against. Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., or companies it purchased and removing defendants, removed pursuant to

CONTRACT		TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defauked Student Loains (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Amplane □ 315 Amplane Product Labbity □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Lubbity □ 345 Manne Product Lubbity □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ Product Lubbity □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 361 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury Product Lubbity □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Lubbity □ 370 Other Fraixl □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal Property Damage □ 385 Prop		1) 610 Agriculture 1) 620 Other Food & Drug 1) 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 1) 630 Laquer Laws 1) 640 R R & Track 1) 650 Artine Regs 1) 660 Occupational Safety-Health 2) 690 Other 2) True Fair Labor Standards 2) Act 2) True Fair Labor Standards 3) Act 2) True Fair Labor Mignit Relations 2) True Labor/Mignit Reporting & Dischosure Act 2) True Railway Labor Act 2) 790 Other Labor Latingsison 2) True Fair Labor Latingsison 2) True Fair Ret Inc 3) Security Act	□ 442 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (139th) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Tile XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAN SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U S. Planniff or Defendant □ 871 IRS Thad Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportsonment 410 Antarust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Cerrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securices/Commiscialities Exchange 12 USC 3410 891 Economic Stabilization 470 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization 471 Agricultural Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 170 Energy Allocation 472 Energy Allocation 473 Energy Allocation 474 Energy Allocation 475 Energy 475 Ener	
VI. ORIGIN 1 Original Proceeding	2 Removed from State Court	(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX OF 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Reope	ated or 3 another district	☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐	Appeal to Datnet 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER FR C P 23	CLASS ACTION	DEMAND S In Excess of \$50,000.00		ly if demanded in complaint: EMAND YES NO	
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instruction	ns) JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	01-CV-5981	

Defendants (Names and Addresses):

DaimlerChrysler Corporation 1000 Chrysler Driver Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2766

Ford Motor Company Parklane Towers West Suite 1500 Three Parklane Boulevard Dearborn, MI 48126-2568

General Motors Corporation 400 Renaissance Center P.O. Box 400 Detroit, MI 48265-4000

Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., individually and/or as parent company, successor in interest, or indemnitor to or of:

Fel-Pro, Inc.,

Ferodo America, Inc.,

Gasket Holdings, Inc., formerly known as Flexitallic Gasket Company,

Moog Automotive Inc., formerly known as Wagner Electric Corporation,

Pneumo Abex Corp., or

T&N plc.

2655 Northwestern Highway Southfield, MI 48034

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DONALD	W.	NEES,	SR.	and	EVELYN	R.	NEES,	
his wife								CASE NO.

V.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION FORD MOTOR COMPANY GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See §1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a)	Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U.S.C. §2441 through §2255.	()
(b)	•	equesting review of a decision of the Secretary of sees denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()
(c)	Arbitration Cases requi Civil Rule 8.	red to be designated for arbitration under Local	()
(d)	Asbestos – Cases involvir from exposure to asbestos	ng claims for personal injury or property damage s.	(X)
(e)	are commonly referred to	ises that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that o as complex and that need special or intense t. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed inagement cases.)	()
(f)	Standard Management tracks.	Cases that do not fall into any one of the other	()
July 15, 2002			
(Date)		Attorney-at-law	
		Edward T. Finch, Esquire	
		Attorney For	
		DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company and Motors Corporation	General

(Civ. 660) 12/91

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DONALD W. NEES, SR. and EVELYN R. NEES, CASE NO. his wife

V.

DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORPORATION FORD MOTOR COMPANY GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION FEDERAL-MOGUL GLOBAL, INC.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendants DaimlerChrysler Corporation, Ford Motor Company and General Motors Corporation (the "automobile manufacturers") hereby give notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims which have been asserted against them in the action captioned Donald W. Nees, Sr. and Evelyn R. Nees, his wife v. AC&S, Inc., et al. now pending in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, at No. 0010-3559. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a), and as grounds for removal the automobile manufacturers state the following:

- 1. The action of which the removed claims are a part was commenced in the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.
- 2. The removed claims are those for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against the automobile manufacturers on the basis of alleged exposure to certain of their asbestos-containing products, including brakes and other automotive parts, manufactured for the automobile

manufacturers by Federal-Mogul Global, Inc., or companies that it purchased, one or more of which is a co-defendant of the automobile manufacturers.

- 3. On October 1, 2001 Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. filed a voluntary petition for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578 (the "Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case") currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware.
- 4. The removed claims may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a): (i) the removed claims are asserted in a civil action not exempt from removal; and (ii) the Court has jurisdiction of the removed claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1334. All claims asserted against the Removing Defendants are related to the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case, and the continued prosecution, outcome at trial or other resolution of the claims will have an effect on the administration of the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case.
- 5. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 9027(a)(3) in that the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case was pending when the removed claims were asserted on or after October 1, 2001 and in that this notice has been filed within 30 days of receipt by one or all of the automobile manufacturers of a copy of the initial pleading setting forth the removed claims.
- 6. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. The automobile manufacturers do not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5).

- The purpose of removal is to facilitate transfer of the removed claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, the district court presiding over the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case, to resolve on a consolidated basis the common threshold scientific issues concerning whether brakes and other automotive parts cause disease. *See, e.g., In re Dow Corning Corp.*, 1995 W.L. 495978, at *2 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. Aug. 9, 1995) (personal injury tort claims transferred to bankruptcy court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) to resolve threshold scientific issues concerning whether silicone breast implants caused disease after removal to federal court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1452(a)).
- 8. On November 20, 2001, the automobile manufacturers filed in the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy Case a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) to transfer this and all other claims related to brakes and automotive parts for consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific issues concerning whether brakes and other automotive parts cause disease.
- 9. On December 10, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin issued the attached Order provisionally transferring pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(5) the claims asserted against the automobile manufacturers to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware.
- 10. On January 3, 2002, Judge Wolin issued a letter opinion and order reiterating that all asbestos friction claims against the automobile manufacturers pending in federal courts as of December 10 had been transferred, and ordering any claims removed after December 10 transferred as well. A copy of the Order and Opinion are attached to this Notice.
- 11. On February 8, 2002, the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin denied the "Motions to Transfer the 'Friction Claims'" and simultaneously remanded the friction products claims. Attached hereto is a copy of said Order.

- 12. However, on February 11, 2002, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit granted a Temporary Stay of Judge Wolin's February 8, 2002 Court Order so that the matter could be considered by a three-judge panel of that court. Attached hereto is a copy of said Order.
- 13. The Removing Defendants file this Notice of Removal to adequately protect the interests of Removing Defendants and to facilitate transfer of these claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware pursuant to Judge Wolin's provisional transfer order.
- 14. The automobile manufacturers will comply with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) by promptly giving notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal to all adverse parties to the action pending in the state court and filing a copy of this Notice of Removal with the prothonotary of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County.

Respectfully submitted,

LAVIN, COLEMAN, O'NEIL, RICCI,

FINARELLI & GRAY

BY:

Edward T. Firch, Esquire
Attorney for Defendants,
DaimlerChrysler Corporation,
Ford Motor Company and
General Motors Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Edward T. Finch, Esquire, hereby certify that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d) written notice of the removal of this action will be promptly given to all adverse parties and a copy of the Notice of Removal will be filed with the Court of Common Pleas.

Edward T. Finch, Esquire